**CASE 2: PROSECUTION**

**State v. Anderson: Facts of the Case**
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On February 7, 2003, a University of Minnesota police officer stopped a motor vehicle traveling eastbound on University Avenue S.E. near Walnut Street in Minneapolis because he believed the driver failed to move a lane away from the parked squad car in violation of Minn. Stat. 169.18, subd.11, which requires motorists to move "a lane away" from stopped emergency vehicles. The driver has been charged with violating this law.

**Issue: Did the defendant move over the required lanes when passing an emergency vehicle?**

**PROSECUTION**

Prosecutor(s):

Witness – Officer Jordon Yang (City Police Officer):

Witness – Al Schriver (Driver):

Read through the evidence provided in the form of witness statements. Below, record four important facts from each statement. Then think about who this fact would benefit – the prosecution, the defense, or neither. How could these facts be used by either side to support their arguments?

**Officer Jordon Yang (Police Officer)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FACT | WHO DOES THIS SUPPORT? | WHY or HOW? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Al Schriver (Driver)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FACT | WHO DOES THIS SUPPORT? | WHY or HOW? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Matthew Anderson (Defendant)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FACT | WHO DOES THIS SUPPORT? | WHY or HOW? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Aiden Martinez (Bicyclist)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FACT | WHO DOES THIS SUPPORT? | WHY or HOW? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |